

August 16, 2012

Mandi Richardson URS Corporation (512) 419-5321

Re: Duke Energy / Miami Fort CERT Test (Project No. 14950789)

Ms. Richardson,

Attached is the report associated with the sixteen (16) aqueous samples submitted for total metals (As, B, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, and Zn) analyses on August 2, 2012. The samples were received on August 3, 2012 in a sealed package at ambient temperature. Total metals analyses were performed via inductively coupled plasma dynamic reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-DRC-MS). Any issues associated with the analyses are addressed in the following report.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Ben Wozniak Project Manager

Ben Woznick

Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Report Prepared for:

Mandi Richardson URS Corporation

August 16, 2012

1. Sample Reception

Sixteen (16) aqueous samples were submitted for total metals (As, B, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, and Zn) analyses on August 2, 2012. The samples were received in acceptable condition on August 3, 2012 in a sealed package at ambient temperature, as recorded on the attached chain of custody (COC) forms.

The samples were received in a laminar flow clean hood, void of trace metals contamination and ultra-violet radiation, and designated discrete sample identifiers. All samples had been preserved with nitric acid by the client prior to reception at Applied Speciation and Consulting (ASC). The pH of each sample was confirmed to be less than 2 upon receipt, so all samples were stored in a secure enclosed container until digestion and analysis could be performed.

2. Sample Preparation

All sample preparation is performed in laminar flow clean hoods known to be free from trace metals contamination. All applied water for dilutions and sample preservatives are monitored for contamination to account for any biases associated with the sample results.

<u>Total Metals Quantitation by ICP-DRC-MS</u> All sample fractions had been preserved to pH < 2 by the client. Aliquots of each sample were placed into Teflon bombs, followed by aliquots of concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. All Teflon bombs were sealed and placed in a convection oven (maintained at a temperature of 105°C) for a minimum of four hours. All resulting sample digests were then analyzed via inductively coupled plasma dynamic reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-DRC-MS).

3. Sample Analysis

All sample analysis is preceded by a minimum of a five-point calibration curve spanning the entire concentration range of interest. Calibration curves are performed at the beginning of each analytical day. All calibration curves, associated with each species of interest, are standardized by linear regression resulting in a response factor. All sample results are

instrument blank corrected to account for any operational biases associated with the analytical platform.

Prior to sample analysis, all calibration curves are verified using second source standards which are identified as initial calibration verification standards (ICV).

Ongoing instrument performance is identified by the analysis of continuing calibration verification standards (CCV) and continuing calibration blanks (CCB) at a minimal interval of every ten analytical runs.

Total Metals Quantitation by ICP-DRC-MS All samples for metals (As, B, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, and Zn) quantitation were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma dynamic reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-DRC-MS) on August 9th, 10th, and 15th. Aliquots of each sample are introduced into a radio frequency (RF) plasma where energy-transfer processes cause desolvation, atomization, and ionization. The ions are extracted from the plasma through a differentially-pumped vacuum interface and travel through a pressurized chamber (DRC) containing a specific reactive gas which preferentially reacts with either interfering ions of the same target mass to charge ratios (m/z) or with the target analyte, producing an entirely different mass to charge ratio (m/z) which can then be differentiated from the initial interferences. A solid-state detector detects ions transmitted through the mass analyzer, on the basis of their mass-to-charge ratio (m/z), and the resulting current is processed by a data handling system.

4. Analytical Issues

The overall analyses went well and no significant analytical issues were encountered. All quality control parameters associated with these samples were within acceptance limits.

It should be noted that the estimated method detection limit (eMDL) for each analyte has been calculated using the standard deviation of the method blanks that were prepared and analyzed concurrently with the submitted samples.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Ben Wozniak Project Manager

Ben Wozniek

Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Sample Results

| | Date | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Sample ID | Collected | As | В | Ве | Cd | Co | Cr | Fe |
| Unit 8 BW-3 | 7/31/2012 | 5.2 | NR | 8.52 | 801 | 1030 | 1.44 | 234* |
| Unit 8 BW-10 | 7/31/2012 | 4.9 | NR | 6.46 | 725 | 854 | 0.75 | 203* |
| Unit 8 BW-13 | 7/31/2012 | 5.2 | NR | 9.13 | 812 | 1040 | 1.03 | 240* |
| Unit 8 BW-2 | 7/31/2012 | ND (<1.4) | 78 | NR | NR | NR | NR | ND (<18) |
| CCW | 7/31/2012 | 3.5 | 238 | 0.87 | 0.115 | 0.96 | 2.41 | 1130 |
| IDI-4 | 7/31/2012 | 4.9 | NR | 0.67 | 1.58 | 6.73 | 5.39 | 43 |
| IDI-1 | 7/31/2012 | 5.0 | NR | 0.62 | 4.79 | 6.91 | 2.04 | 75 |
| FB-1 | 8/1/2012 | ND (<1.4) | ND (<13) | 1.76 | ND (<0.025) | ND (<0.54) | 1.00 | ND (<18) |
| Unit 8 BAS | 8/1/2012 | 5.3 | 317 | 0.69 | ND (<0.025) | ND (<0.54) | ND (<0.75) | ND (<18) |
| CCW | 8/1/2012 | 3.3 | 245 | 1.77 | 0.099 | 1.06 | 2.33 | 1150 |
| Unit 8 BW-3 | 8/1/2012 | 5.0 | NR | 8.49 | 724 | 1030 | 2.24 | 251* |
| Unit 8 BW-10 | 8/1/2012 | 4.9 | NR | 6.44 | 663 | 828 | ND (<0.75) | 208* |
| Unit 8 BW-13 | 8/1/2012 | 5.4 | NR | 8.40 | 767 | 1060 | 1.31 | 264* |
| Dup-100 | 8/1/2012 | 5.6 | NR | 9.11 | 778 | 1030 | 1.17 | 257* |
| IDI-1 | 8/1/2012 | 5.1 | NR | ND (<0.62) | 3.86 | 6.73 | 3.63 | ND (<18) |
| IDI-4 | 8/1/2012 | 5.7 | NR | ND (<0.62) | 2.24 | 7.92 | 5.47 | 30 |

All results reflect the applied dilution and are reported in µg/L

ND = Not detected at the applied dilution

NR = Not requested

^{*} Reported from Batch 2; all other Fe results reported for Batch 1

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Sample Results

| | Date | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|--------|------------|-------------|------|------------|-----------|
| Sample ID | Collected | Mn | Na | Ni | Pb | Sb | Se | Zn |
| Unit 8 BW-3 | 7/31/2012 | 352000 | 659000 | 5560 | 0.044 | 2.27 | 903 | 13300 |
| Unit 8 BW-10 | 7/31/2012 | 304000 | 575000 | 4820 | 0.047 | 2.47 | 846 | 9860 |
| Unit 8 BW-13 | 7/31/2012 | 362000 | 679000 | 5740 | 0.067 | 2.30 | 904 | 13300 |
| Unit 8 BW-2 | 7/31/2012 | ND (<0.60) | NR | NR | NR | NR | 2.88 | NR |
| CCW | 7/31/2012 | 99.7 | 60900 | 6.56 | 1.29 | 0.47 | 1.70 | NR |
| IDI-4 | 7/31/2012 | 194 | 409000 | 7.15 | ND (<0.039) | 4.60 | 689 | NR |
| IDI-1 | 7/31/2012 | 270 | 419000 | 6.58 | ND (<0.039) | 3.81 | 752 | 5.6 |
| FB-1 | 8/1/2012 | ND (<0.60) | 142 | ND (<0.51) | 0.064 | 0.15 | ND (<0.98) | ND (<1.3) |
| Unit 8 BAS | 8/1/2012 | 29.9 | 63500 | 4.44 | ND (<0.039) | 0.65 | 2.31 | ND (<1.3) |
| CCW | 8/1/2012 | 108 | 66200 | 6.91 | 1.19 | 0.52 | 1.93 | NR |
| Unit 8 BW-3 | 8/1/2012 | 344000 | 641000 | 5410 | 0.055 | 1.94 | 904 | 13100 |
| Unit 8 BW-10 | 8/1/2012 | 288000 | 546000 | 4480 | ND (<0.039) | 2.15 | 870 | 9290 |
| Unit 8 BW-13 | 8/1/2012 | 365000 | 737000 | 5840 | 0.139 | 1.99 | 972 | 13600 |
| Dup-100 | 8/1/2012 | 347000 | 654000 | 5600 | 0.055 | 2.19 | 971 | 13200 |
| IDI-1 | 8/1/2012 | 162 | 392000 | 6.48 | 0.044 | 3.38 | 624 | 5.8 |
| IDI-4 | 8/1/2012 | 87.6 | 469000 | 7.86 | 0.068 | 3.55 | 652 | NR |

All results reflect the applied dilution and are reported in $\mu g/L$

ND = Not detected at the applied dilution

NR = Not requested

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Quality Control Summary - Preparation Blank Summary

| Analyte | Units | Batch | PBW1 | PBW2 | PBW3 | PBW4 | Mean | StdDev | eMDL 50x | RL 50x | eMDL 5000x | RL 5000x |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|------------|----------|
| As | μg/L | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 5.0 | - | - |
| В | μg/L | - | -21 | -26 | -27 | -31 | -26 | 4 | 13 | 200 | - | - |
| Be | μg/L | - | 1.47 | 1.52 | 1.90 | 1.47 | 1.59 | 0.21 | 0.62 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Cd | μg/L | - | 0.003 | -0.013 | -0.007 | 0.005 | -0.003 | 0.008 | 0.025 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Co | μg/L | - | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.09 | -0.01 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Cr | μg/L | - | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Fe | μg/L | 1 | 12 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 50 | - | - |
| Fe | μg/L | 2 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 50 | - | - |
| Mn | μg/L | - | -1.00 | -0.80 | -1.18 | -1.25 | -1.06 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 5.0 | 60 | 500 |
| Na | μg/L | - | -1 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 200 | 2000 | 20000 |
| Ni | μg/L | - | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 5.0 | 51 | 500 |
| Pb | μg/L | - | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.036 | 0.009 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.039 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Sb | μg/L | - | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Se | μg/L | - | 0.49 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.98 | 5.0 | - | - |
| Zn | μg/L | - | -1.7 | -1.6 | -1.2 | -0.8 | -1.3 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 50 | - | - |

eMDL = Estimated Method Detection Limit; please see narrative regarding eMDL calculations

RL = Reporting Limit

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Quality Control Summary - Certified Reference Materials

| Analyte | Units | Batch | CRM | True Value | Result | Recovery |
|---------|-------|-------|---------|------------|--------|----------|
| As | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 40.7 | 38.37 | 94.3 |
| В | μg/L | - | LCS | 500.0 | 533.5 | 106.7 |
| Be | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 15.2 | 15.42 | 101.5 |
| Cd | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 145 | 158.6 | 109.4 |
| Co | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 285 | 286.9 | 100.6 |
| Cr | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 389 | 392.0 | 100.8 |
| Fe | μg/L | 1 | TMDA-70 | 369 | 394.6 | 106.9 |
| Fe | μg/L | 2 | TMDA-70 | 369 | 365.8 | 99.1 |
| Mn | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 302 | 299.8 | 99.3 |
| Na | μg/L | - | LCS | 10000 | 9510 | 95.1 |
| Ni | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 328 | 326.0 | 99.4 |
| Pb | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 444 | 434.1 | 97.8 |
| Sb | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 21.7 | 24.46 | 112.7 |
| Se | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 25.9 | 26.38 | 101.9 |
| Zn | μg/L | - | TMDA-70 | 480 | 451.9 | 94.1 |

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Quality Control Summary - Matrix Duplicates

| Analyte | Units | Batch | Sample ID | Rep 1 | Rep 2 | Mean | RPD |
|---------|-------|-------|------------------|------------|------------|--------|-------|
| As | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 2.3 |
| В | μg/L | - | Batch QC | 381800 | 387900 | 384850 | 1.6 |
| Be | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | ND (<0.62) | ND (<0.62) | NC | NC |
| Cd | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 2.236 | 2.224 | 2.230 | 0.5 |
| Co | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 7.92 | 8.15 | 8.04 | 2.8 |
| Cr | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 5.47 | 6.13 | 5.80 | 11.5 |
| Fe | μg/L | 1 | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 30 | 40 | 35 | 29.5* |
| Fe | μg/L | 2 | Batch QC | 94 | 108 | 101 | 13.9 |
| Mn | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 87.62 | 89.82 | 88.72 | 2.5 |
| Na | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 468800 | 469300 | 469050 | 0.1 |
| Ni | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 7.86 | 7.66 | 7.76 | 2.6 |
| Pb | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 0.068 | 0.044 | 0.056 | 42.3* |
| Sb | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 3.55 | 3.68 | 3.62 | 3.6 |
| Se | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 651.6 | 637.7 | 644.6 | 2.2 |
| Zn | μg/L | - | Batch QC | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 9.1 |

ND = Not detected at the applied dilution

NC = Not calculated due to one or more concentrations below the eMDL

^{*} Sample concentrations are less than the RL

Date: August 16, 2012 Report Generated by: Ben Wozniak Applied Speciation and Consulting, LLC

Quality Control Summary - Matrix Spike/ Matrix Spike Duplicate

| Analyte | Units | Batch | Sample ID | Spike Conc | MS Result | Recovery | Spike Conc | MSD Result | Recovery | RPD |
|---------|-------|-------|------------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|-----|
| As | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 607.5 | 120.4 | 500.0 | 620.6 | 123.0 | 2.1 |
| В | μg/L | - | Batch QC | 500.0 | 393000 | NC | 500.0 | 372700 | NC | 5.3 |
| Be | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 597.9 | 119.6 | 500.0 | 597.0 | 119.4 | 0.2 |
| Cd | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 50.00 | 51.98 | 99.5 | 50.00 | 50.75 | 97.0 | 2.4 |
| Co | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 521.3 | 102.7 | 500.0 | 510.1 | 100.4 | 2.2 |
| Cr | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 554.4 | 109.7 | 500.0 | 538.6 | 106.6 | 2.9 |
| Fe | μg/L | 1 | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 10000 | 10130 | 101.0 | 10000 | 9786 | 97.5 | 3.4 |
| Fe | μg/L | 2 | Batch QC | 10000 | 10050 | 99.5 | 10000 | 10000 | 99.0 | 0.5 |
| Mn | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 628.9 | 108.0 | 500.0 | 610.1 | 104.3 | 3.0 |
| Na | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 10000 | 507300 | NC | 10000 | 475600 | NC | 6.5 |
| Ni | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 537.6 | 106.0 | 500.0 | 520.7 | 102.6 | 3.2 |
| Pb | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 50.00 | 50.43 | 100.8 | 50.00 | 49.78 | 99.5 | 1.3 |
| Sb | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 50.00 | 59.34 | 111.5 | 50.00 | 59.67 | 112.1 | 0.6 |
| Se | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 1174 | 106.0 | 500.0 | 1186 | 108.3 | 1.0 |
| Zn | μg/L | - | IDI-4 (8/1/2012) | 500.0 | 526.7 | 104.1 | 500.0 | 511.2 | 101.0 | 3.0 |

NC = Not calculated; spiking level is less than one-fifth the ambient sample concentration

TRACE METALS

| APPLIED SPECTAND CONSULT | | | | | | | 18804 Northcreek Parkway Bothell, WA 98011 | Phone (425) 483-3300 Fax (425) 483-9818 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|---|------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| Company Name: DUKE ENG | cecon 1 | IRS CORD | | | | ASC Projec | t Manager: | |
| Contact Person: MKE W4 | | | By submitti | ng of samples the client agrees to all te | rms and conditions set | | | |
| Address: 525 VING | 57. C. | NCI. OH. | 4520 | 2 - Sui | TE 1800 | forth in the | quotation provided by the ASC project | t manager. If you are not |
| | | | | h the term and conditions associated w | | | | |
| Phone Number: 513 - 6 | 51-3440 | | | r ASC representative as soon as possib | le (425) 483-3300. | | | |
| Fax Number: | | | | | | | Гurn Around Time: | |
| Email Address: M.Ke. w | agner @ | miami Fo | _ | | | | Sample Delivery: | |
| Project Name: DUKE E | wekly | mIAMI FO | MET CO | ero | | | cking Number: | |
| | 50787 | | | | | Confirmatio | on of Sample Reception: Yes | □ No |
| PO Number: | | | * | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| Sample ID | Bottle ID | Date and Time | Matrix | Volume | Preservative | Initials | Requested Analytes and Methods | s Comments |
| UNIT 8 BW-3 | | 7-31-12 094 | 5 WW | ~120 mL | DI/HNO3 | Ques | TRACE METALS * | |
| UNIT 8 BW-10 | | 0955 | 1 | 1 | , | | | |
| UNIT 8 BW-13 | | 1020 | | | | | | |
| UNIT & BW-2 | | 1150 | | | | | | |
| CCW | | 1300 | | | | | | |
| IDI-4 | | 13 45 | j | | | | | |
| IDI-I | | V 1405 | V | 1 | V | ~ 4 | Y | |
| FB-I | | 8/1/12 0750 | D-I | i i | 1 | and | 1 | |
| Unit8 BAS | | 0835 | NW | | | 1 | | |
| CCW | | 0900 | 1 | | | | | |
| UNIT 8 BW3 | | 1050 | | | | | | |
| UNIT 8 BW 10 | | 1100 | | | | | | |
| UNIT 8 BW-13 | | 1120 | | | | | | |
| Dup -100 | | 1200 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | 1315 | | | | | | |
| IDI-4 | | 1325 | 4 | 4 | 4 | ٧ | √ | |
| | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | | | | | 1 | |
| Relinquished by: (sign) | 1 | (print) Joha | v m. AL | rev | | Date/Time: | 08-02-12 /1230 Comments: | |
| Received by: (sign) (yau gur | (print)_ | Nayne Caurence | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | Date/Time: | 1 1 7 | / | |
| |) | | | | | | Comments: | |
| Relinquished by: (sign) Way | | (print) Way | 4 Cayrer | iu | | Date/Time: | 8/2/12/1408 | RT |
| Received by: (sign) (lex 5) | Jer (print)_ | Alex DUP | ler | | Date/Time: 8 | 13/0 | Temp: | |

Please account for each sample bottle as a seperate line item for verification purposes.

^{*}Matrix: Air, Freshwater (FW), seawater (SW), groundwater (GW), wastewater (WW), soil (SL), sediment (SD), tissue (TS), product (P), other (O)